1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITY REGULAR BENCH SESSION
4	
5	
6	
7	Chicago, Illinois March 10, 2010
8	
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.
10	BEFORE:
11	MR. MANUEL FLORES, Chairman
12	MS. LULA M. FORD, Commissioner
13	MS. ERIN M. O'CONNELL-DIAZ, Commissioner
14	MR. SHERMAN J. ELLIOTT, Commissioner
15	MR. JOHN T. COLGAN, Commissioner
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

- 1 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Good morning everybody.
- 2 Pursuant to the provisions of the Illinois Open
- 3 Meetings Act, we now convene the regularly scheduled
- 4 bench session of the Illinois Commerce Commission.
- 5 With me in Chicago are Commissioners Ford,
- 6 O'Connell-Diaz, Elliot and Acting Commissioner
- 7 Colgan. I am Acting Chairman Flores.
- We have a quorum.
- 9 Before moving into the agenda,
- 10 according to Section 1700.10 of the Illinois
- 11 Administrative Code this is the time we allow members
- of the public to address the Commission. Members of
- 13 public wishing to address the Commission must notify
- 14 the Chief Clerk's Office at least 24 hours prior to
- 15 the bench session. According to the Chief Clerk's
- 16 Office, there are 5 requests to speak. Speakers are
- 17 permitted 3 minutes to address the Commission.
- 18 Please be advised that the Commission
- 19 values the public's participation in the public
- 20 comment period, but according to ex parte laws and
- 21 other procedural rules, we the Commissioners are
- 22 unable to respond. However, if members of the public

- 1 have any questions, please contact our Consumer
- 2 Services Division.
- First this morning we have Ms. Linda
- 4 Applewhite, would you please approach the bench.
- 5 MS LINDA APPLEWHITE: How are you?
- 6 CHAIRMAN FLORES: You may begin,
- 7 Ms. Applewhite.
- 8 MS LINDA APPLEWHITE: How are you doing?
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I'm doing very well. Good
- 10 morning, ma'am.
- 11 You may begin.
- 12 THE WITNESS: My name is Linda Applewhite. The
- first part of September of '09, I would say, someone
- 14 came to my door and said that they could make my gas
- 15 bill cheaper. By me being on disability, that
- 16 sounded really good. So -- what -- they informed me
- 17 that the way that you look at your bill, they count
- 18 them as therms and they said my therms would be about
- 19 40¢ a month as opposed to whatever Peoples Gas was.
- 20 If it goes up, my therms would be 40¢. And when I
- 21 first got my first bill -- no, first they sent me a
- 22 letter saying that my therms would be 79¢ a therm,

- 1 plus they say I signed up for green energy, which
- 2 would be 10¢ extra. So in reality I was paying 89¢ a
- 3 therm which was already more than what Peoples Gas
- 4 was charging me. And when I got my first bill,
- 5 that's when I realized that what they told me was not
- 6 true. Peoples Gas -- I had two bills on my Peoples
- 7 Gas account.
- 8 From Peoples Gas I remember it being
- 9 \$34 and for Just Energy it came up to \$238. So I
- 10 picked up the phone and called Just Energy and I
- 11 asked why I had two prices on my gas bill. They
- informed me that the \$32 gas bill was for using
- 13 Peoples Gas' equipment, and that I used \$200 and
- 14 some-odd dollars of Just Energy gas and that's the
- 15 way it would be.
- I said, Well I don't like this. I
- 17 would like to get out of this. They told me I
- 18 couldn't because I was in a contract for five years.
- 19 And the way they do that -- they came in September.
- 20 You get a letter -- I got my first letter the 29th of
- 21 September. They said you have a month to get out of
- 22 it, but when they send you your next bill, your month

- 1 has overlapped so it's too late to get out of that
- 2 contract because after you get your first bill your
- 3 month is already over with. And my thing is, I got
- 4 my two kids into it too because they were at the
- 5 house visiting and it sounded so good. They signed
- 6 up for it and their gas bill is way worse than mine.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Thank you, Ms. Applewhite.
- Next we have Ms. Nicole Applewhite.
- 9 MS. NICOLE APPLEWHITE: Good morning.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Good morning.
- 11 MS. NICOLE APPLEWHITE: My name is Nicole
- 12 Applewhite. I am the daughter of Linda Applewhite.
- 13 In September of '09, I was at --
- 14 CHIEF CLERK: I'm sorry. The microphone is not
- on. We can't hear her in Springfield.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: Is the green light on?
- 17 MS. NICOLE APPLEWHITE: Is that better?
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: That's okay, Ms. Applewhite.
- 19 MS. NICOLE APPLEWHITE: Again, my name is
- 20 Nicole Applewhite. I am the daughter of Linda
- 21 Applewhite. In September of '09 I was at my mom's
- 22 house when a door to door rep for Just Energy came to

- 1 speak with my mom about her energy bill. I was there
- 2 listening and what the reps -- it was two reps, a
- 3 male and a female, and what they explained was
- 4 that -- exactly what they said was the therms -- I
- 5 don't know how to read my energy bill, just so that
- 6 you know. I don't know how to read it. And they
- 7 wanted a bill so they could go over it and we can
- 8 show you. Most people don't understand how to read
- 9 the bill and we would like to see the bill so that we
- 10 can go over and explain to you what you would be
- 11 paying. They told us and then showed me that it
- 12 would be 48¢ a therm and that at this time we're
- 13 cheaper than Peoples Gas and every month it goes up
- 14 and you wouldn't have to worry about paying more
- prices because you will stay at this 48¢ a therm
- 16 because every month it goes up.
- 17 So I'm listening to their pitch and I
- 18 said, That's great. I would like for my bill to be
- 19 lower, but I have Nicor and I don't have a bill with
- 20 me. We're cheaper than Nicor, also. All I have to
- 21 do is to call them or get your account number. So
- 22 they called right then and got my account number and

- 1 switched me over. My bill is generally -- was
- 2 generally, maybe about \$50 a month. When I got my
- 3 first bill from Just Energy it was about \$250.
- 4 Like my mom, when I called I told
- 5 them, No, we were -- I was misled and, you know,
- 6 basically lied to. And, again, There's nothing we
- 7 can do. You're in contract, blah, blah, blah. Well,
- 8 I refuse to pay you. I'm not going to pay you. So
- 9 right now I'm still under contract because I couldn't
- 10 get out of it. My mom got out of it because she went
- 11 to try to get some assistance with the bill and they
- 12 couldn't help her because she signed up with Just
- 13 Energy. I never qualified to get assistance, so I
- 14 never bothered to go and try and get assistance, but
- 15 they helped her get out off it .
- As of now my bill is \$1,000 from Just
- 17 Energy. I found out later when I tried to get into
- 18 it and understand my bill, at the time of signing up
- 19 when they told my 38¢ a therm and we got the letter
- 20 and it's actually 89¢ a therm, I was paying 33¢ a
- 21 therm with Nicor. So they really, really got me.
- 22 And so I'm in a position now where I want to get out

- of this contract with them and I know I have to pay
- them because I did use some gas, but I'm not sure
- 3 what I can do to actually maybe pay -- not the 89¢ a
- 4 therm, maybe what Nicor is because that's what I
- 5 would have been paying had I stayed with Nicor. I
- 6 don't feel that I should have to pay them the full
- 7 amount because it was misleading and I think
- 8 fraudulent.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Thank you, Ms. Applewhite.
- 10 Next we have Ms. Lillie Johnson.
- 11 MS. LILLIE JOHNSON: Good morning.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Good morning, Ms. Johnson.
- 13 MS. LILLIE JOHNSON: My name is Lillie Johnson
- 14 and I'm a resident of the City of Chicago and a
- 15 customer of Peoples Gas.
- 16 On September of 2009, a Just Energy
- 17 sales rep came by my door selling gas services. The
- 18 plan he pitched was a confusing plan, it was very
- 19 confusing and it included a green energy option.
- 20 After hearing the sales pitch, I indicated that I was
- 21 not interested in the plan and I did not want to
- 22 switch to Just Energy because at the time he was

- 1 selling a plan that was 79¢ per therm and at that
- 2 time I was paying approximately 51¢ per therm. And I
- 3 had just recently heard in the news that the price of
- 4 home energy was going down, so there was no reason
- 5 for me to sign up for a plan that was 79¢ per therm
- 6 when I was paying much less at the time.
- 7 Well, at that time he asked me if I
- 8 could see my gas bill and I showed him may gas bill,
- 9 which I should not have, because he wrote my account
- 10 number down and I asked him -- he said I qualified
- 11 for the plan. So I asked him how could he tell I
- 12 qualified for the plan by just looking at my gas bill
- and he said that he could tell that I paid my bill.
- 14 And so I left it at that. I told him again I do not
- 15 want to switch to Just Energy.
- 16 Well, he left and after a few weeks I
- 17 received a bill from Peoples -- I received a letter
- 18 from Peoples Gas indicating that on or around October
- 19 27th that Just Energy would be my gas supplier. And
- 20 that indicated to me that I had been switched to Just
- 21 Energy. Well I didn't switch. I never signed a
- 22 contract. I never gave verbal approval for them to

- 1 switch me to Just Energy. And because someone
- 2 switched my energy, this makes me very angry that
- 3 someone can do that without, first of all, a signed
- 4 contract. And secondly, even without me telling them
- 5 to go ahead and do it. I have nothing.
- 6 So switching without my consent, to
- 7 me, that's fraud. And if they can switch me without
- 8 my consent, I can only wonder how many other
- 9 residents of Illinois have been switched without
- 10 their consent under this fraudulent practice. So I
- 11 beg, please, stop this company from taking advantage
- 12 of other Illinois consumers like me. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Thank you, Ms. Johnson.
- 14 The next person that we have is
- 15 Mr. Renee Green.
- 16 MS. RENEE GREEN: My name is Renee Green and
- 17 good morning. In August of last year I had a
- 18 gentlemen come to my door -- I was home feeding my
- 19 three-and-a-half-month old and my two and-a-half-year
- 20 old -- and he told my that he was a gentlemen from
- 21 Just Energy and that Just Energy was the supplier for
- the gas for Nicor and that he just wanted to make

- 1 sure Nicor was not overcharging their gas. So I was
- 2 very happy. I let him in my house to look at my bill
- 3 and to make sure he was saving me some money. I was
- 4 in the middle of feeding my children and he asked me
- 5 to just sign this form stating that Nicor could not
- 6 charge me more than 79¢ per therm, it could go below,
- 7 but never above 79¢ per therm. I told him I couldn't
- 8 read over the whole form so he just showed me where
- 9 to sign and what it was for. So I signed that line
- 10 and the other line I signed, it was just stating that
- 11 he did his job.
- 12 My next bill was triple the amount of
- 13 my regular Nicor bill. So I called Nicor and they
- 14 told me, Honey, you're not with us anymore. I was
- 15 completely shocked and I didn't know what to do.
- 16 They told me to call them. Well, come to find out, I
- 17 was not only just paying 79¢ a therm at a fluctuating
- 18 rate -- I wasn't paying it at a fixed rate, plus a
- 19 25¢ geotherm, which he never discussed anything about
- 20 a geotherm for me. So in total I was paying \$1.04.
- 21 I've never been scammed before, and I know it was
- 22 stupid on my behalf for not reading what I signed,

- 1 but he totally misled me and I just felt very
- 2 scammed.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Thank you.
- Next we have Mr. Jose Cortez.
- 5 THE INTERPRETER: Mr. Cortez only speaks
- 6 Spanish. I offered to interpret for him, if you
- 7 like.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I have no issues with that.
- 9 Commissioners?
- 10 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Of course not.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Very well.
- 12 THE INTERPRETER: Good morning. My story began
- in September when a gentlemen called Reese knocked on
- 14 my door. He was offering me a cheaper gas which was
- 15 going to be some administered by Just Energy. I
- don't understand how this company can administer gas
- 17 without the means to be able to deliver this gas to
- 18 consumers.
- In that time I used to pay a monthly
- 20 bill of \$32, now I pay \$90 to \$100. When I realized
- 21 that what they were doing was renting their pipelines
- from Peoples Gas, I contacted them and I asked them

- 1 to cancel the service. They asked me to stay and
- 2 instead of paying 79¢ per therm, they were going to
- 3 reduce it to 59¢ per therm. I told them I was not
- 4 interested. I wanted to end the contract. I had to
- 5 pay \$50 for a cancellation fee. I told them I didn't
- 6 care. I would pay them a hundred, but I didn't want
- 7 the contract any longer. So in order for them to
- 8 cancel the contract, I had to use words that I cannot
- 9 say or repeat at this time. So that's when they
- 10 canceled the contract.
- 11 After that I excused myself to the
- 12 person I was speaking to because I misbehaved because
- 13 I understood that he had to support his family, but
- 14 that he shouldn't support thieves like Peoples Gas or
- 15 Just Energy. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Thank you.
- 17 We have no further witnesses.
- Turning now to the public utility
- 19 agenda. There are minutes to approve from the
- 20 February 10, 2010, bench session. I understand the
- 21 minutes have been forwarded.
- Is there a motion to amend the

```
1
     minutes?
           COMMISSIONER FORD: So moved.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN FLORES: Is there a second?
4
           COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Second.
           CHAIRMAN FLORES: It's been moved and seconded.
5
                    All in favor say "aye."
6
                    (Chorus of ayes.)
7
                    Any opposed?
8
9
                    (No response.)
10
                    The vote is 5-0 amending the minutes.
11
                    Is there a motion to approve the
12
     minutes as amended?
13
           COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.
14
           CHAIRMAN FLORES: Is there a second?
           COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Second.
15
           CHAIRMAN FLORES: It's been moved and seconded.
16
17
                    All in favor say "aye."
18
                    (Chorus of ayes.)
19
                    Any opposed?
20
                    (No response.)
21
                    The vote is 5-0 approving the minutes
```

22

as amended.

- 1 We are holding Items G-2, G-3 and W-3.
- We'll be moving on to the electric
- 3 agenda.
- 4 Item E-1 is a tariff filing by
- 5 MidAmerican Energy Company proposing revisions to
- 6 Rider 4 and Rider 14. Staff recommends that the
- 7 Commission allow the Company's proposed filings by
- 8 not suspending the filing.
- 9 Is there a motion to not suspend the
- 10 filing?
- 11 COMMISSIONER FORD: So moved.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Is there a second?
- 13 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FLORES: It's been moved and seconded.
- 15 All in favor say "aye."
- 16 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 17 Any opposed?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 The vote is 5-0. The filings will not
- 20 be suspended. We will use this 5-0 vote for the
- 21 remainder of the agenda unless otherwise noted.
- 22 Item E-2 is a tariff filing by

- 1 Commonwealth Edison Company proposing revisions to
- 2 the design of its customer bill form. Staff
- 3 recommends that the Commission allow the Company's
- 4 proposed filings by not suspending the filing.
- Is there any discussion?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 Any objections?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 Hearing none, the filings will not be
- 10 suspended.
- Item E-3 is Docket 09-0484. This is a
- 12 complaint by Louis Testa against Commonwealth Edison
- 13 company. The parties have settled and moved to
- 14 dismiss. ALJ Gilbert recommends dismissing the
- 15 complaint with prejudice.
- Is there any discussion?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Any objections?
- 19 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the complaint is
- 21 dismissed with prejudice.
- 22 Item E-4 and E-5 will be taken

- 1 together. These are applications for licensure of
- 2 agents, brokers and consultants pursuant to
- 3 Sections 16-115C of the Public Utilities Act. The
- 4 ALJs recommend entering the Orders granting the
- 5 certificates.
- 6 Is there any discussion?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I have a question and this
- 9 may have already been resolved, but I just want to be
- 10 clear on this: Before -- this is to the ALJs --
- 11 JUDGE YODER: Yes, Chairman.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FLORES: The evaluation on the
- 13 granting of the certificates, did we review whether
- or not any of these applicants or agents had any
- outstanding actions against them or pending actions
- 16 against them in other states or other jurisdictions?
- 17 JUDGE YODER: Yes, Chairman. In some cases
- 18 supplemental ALJ rulings were sent out to the
- 19 parties, in some instances, I think it might have
- 20 been combined with any other deficiencies. Docket
- 21 09-0604 indicated that it is registered with the
- 22 Massachusetts Department of Public Utility's Energy

- 1 broker and has had no complaints filed against it for
- 2 its provisional services in the gas or electric
- 3 industry.
- Docket 09-0599, World Energy,
- 5 indicated that it is certificated in 14 other
- 6 jurisdictions similar to the ABC licensing in
- 7 Illinois and also it had no complaints filed against
- 8 it for its provision of services in the gas or
- 9 electric industry.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Do you know whether or not as
- 11 part of that analysis we inquired with, perhaps,
- 12 organizations that are analogous to the Citizens
- 13 Utility Board like we have here in the State of
- 14 Illinois, where, perhaps, actions or complaints may
- 15 be registered to those organizations; but not in
- 16 particular being pursued by the Public Utilities
- 17 Commissions in those jurisdictions?
- 18 JUDGE YODER: No, Chairman. No other inquiry
- 19 was made for organizations similar to the Citizens
- 20 Utilities Board in those other jurisdictions.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: What about analysis or
- 22 questions of the Better Business Bureaus in those

- 1 jurisdictions and whether or not we made inquiries to
- 2 their Better Business Bureaus?
- JUDGE YODER: No, Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Okay. For the sake of
- 5 discussion, I would propose that me may want to
- 6 evaluate whether or not it may be prudent to go
- 7 beyond just asking whether or not there are formal
- 8 complaints being registered before Public Utilities
- 9 Commissions such as this one for the sake of getting
- 10 a clearer picture in terms of what may be going on in
- 11 those jurisdictions. I don't know.
- 12 Is there any discussions?
- 13 COMMISSIONER FORD: It has to be in the
- 14 statute -- I mean the rules -- the Administrative
- 15 Code.
- 16 JUDGE WALLACE: Mr. Chairman, this is Judge
- 17 Wallace. We think that the inquiry to the applicant
- 18 itself, you know -- I don't know that CUB or the
- 19 Better Business Bureau will elicit any valid
- 20 information because those types of organizations are
- 21 private organizations and the information we would
- 22 receive from them would not be readily admittable in

- 1 these types of proceedings.
- 2 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: The other thing I
- 3 would just point out, and it's reflected in the
- 4 preliminary matters of the Order, is that the parties
- 5 are under oath when they present the testimony that
- 6 is elicited. That would have to be, I think, a staff
- 7 function to start a discovery procedure in these
- 8 other jurisdictions. And as we heard earlier today,
- 9 these are 14 jurisdictions and I really don't know
- 10 how to do that.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I sort of agree. I
- 12 think the issue of -- if it hasn't come before a
- 13 regulatory proceeding and gone through the
- 14 evidentiary basis, similar to the complaint process
- 15 we engage in here, I'm not sure how admissible it
- 16 would be just to --
- 17 CHAIRMAN FLORES: That may be the case, but I
- 18 think it still may be something that we may want to
- 19 further discuss at a later point. We've reviewed --
- 20 the concern always is, again, what are some of these
- 21 companies doing in other jurisdictions and can we
- 22 glean from their experiences in other places. And,

- 1 in particular, where there may be instances of cases
- 2 where, you know, you see some egregious conduct. And
- 3 to the extent that the information is out there and
- 4 it's not that difficult to come about by, perhaps
- 5 another just simple phone call or inquiry and at the
- 6 minimum, we can include it in the record, I think it
- 7 can offer some level of instruction.
- 8 In particular, given -- you know -- I
- 9 think our interest, as Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz
- 10 has indicated, when there are violations earlier as
- 11 she indicated -- or rather instructions that were
- 12 made in terms of filing complaints before this
- 13 Commission, I think it could be -- could provide for
- 14 some additional level of instruction. So --
- 15 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I tend to agree that it
- 16 would be a regulatory or a court or county
- 17 jurisdiction. I would agree. Where I could go to
- 18 the regulatory body and go through due process.
- 19 COMMISSIONER FORD: It would certainly have to
- 20 be a regulatory body.
- 21 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Exactly. I would look
- 22 to their decisions in other jurisdictions as opposed

- 1 to --
- 2 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I just harken
- 3 back to the days of the slamming that went on in the
- 4 telecommunications industry and as those markets
- 5 became competitive. We had a host of bad actors that
- 6 came in here. And during the proceedings involving
- 7 those particular companies, there was discovery
- 8 having to do with the cases that were pending
- 9 relative to a high number of consumer complaints. So
- 10 I think that there is a mechanism for that and I
- 11 think that the inquiry that Judge Yoder has suggested
- 12 has become -- you know, its kind of the way that they
- 13 to do it. I find that to be appropriate.
- 14 You know, with regard to the
- 15 consumers earlier -- going to your point, Mr. Flores,
- 16 with regard to the consumer knowing what they can do
- 17 or can't do, these consumer have not been told the
- 18 right information, I believe, these people that came
- 19 here today. They have a right to file a complaint
- 20 against the provider of that service and they should
- 21 do so. Certainly that's up to them, but I'm quite
- 22 taken aback that we would hear these stories this

- 1 morning and that these folks have not filed consumer
- 2 complaints with our consumer division, that's what
- 3 they're there for.
- 4 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Mr. Chairman -- and I
- 5 think that's what I think you're trying to get at is
- 6 that there are these violations that happen and
- 7 people make complaints, but they don't make those
- 8 complaints to the legal authority that can actually
- 9 have jurisdiction over it. I share your concerns
- 10 that -- I mean, there's a ton of these ABCs that have
- 11 come through -- I mean, every session we have five or
- 12 six of those it seems. And I think our intent is
- 13 to -- before we invite in bad players, that we take
- 14 precautions to make sure that doesn't happen.
- So I appreciate the concerns by all of
- 16 the Commissioners on this issue and maybe we take
- 17 that under advisement and we can have further
- 18 discussions about the very best way that we can do
- 19 that without creating a lot of unnecessary work for
- 20 the Staff, it's already really busy; but to try to
- 21 make sure that we have really reasonable policies in
- 22 place that try to discover this before it becomes an

- 1 issue.
- 2 COMMISSIONER FORD: I think if you look back in
- 3 the preliminary matters, the ALJ heard what we said
- 4 last week because he said he requested additional
- 5 information on the applicant, so they heard us. And
- 6 so they did do what we asked them to do, in my
- opinion, due diligence by asking. And they were
- 8 under oath, I think, when they gave this information,
- 9 so we can always come back. This Commission has the
- 10 jurisdiction to come back and say, You did not give
- 11 us the right information.
- 12 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Just to reenforce what
- 13 Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz mentioned, we've gone
- down this path before in this regulatory agency with
- 15 the telecommunications carrier (someone coughing) and
- 16 legislative processes and regulatory processes to
- 17 protect consumers, and there were problems and they
- 18 were -- and so we shouldn't have to file this round
- 19 again. This type of information that we engaged in
- 20 or embodied in our telecommunications certification
- 21 cases, I think, should be adopted and adapted and --
- 22 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: You know, I heard

- 1 this morning from one of the presenters that they
- 2 were directed to call someone else other than the
- 3 Commission. That's troubling to me and it was from
- 4 one of our regulated entities, at least that's the
- 5 story that I heard.
- 6 COMMISSIONER FORD: It was alleged.
- 7 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Maybe there was
- 8 misunderstanding, but this is the regulatory body
- 9 that licenses these providers and this is the place
- 10 that citizens come to file a complaint relative to
- 11 any issue that they may have. So to me I think that
- 12 Commissioner Colgan is right on. It's a question of
- 13 getting that message out to consumers that this is
- 14 the place you come. Don't join some group and think
- 15 that -- if you've got an individual complaint, come
- 16 here. This is what we do.
- 17 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I think we're --
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: And that's my point. If it
- 19 goes on in this jurisdiction, I think it's a pretty
- 20 safe bet to assume that it's going on in other
- 21 jurisdictions as well in which, unfortunately, you
- 22 have a scenario where the Public Utility Commissions

- 1 are not the only places where people are going and
- 2 registering complaints. I, for one, am as concerned
- 3 as all of you are, in particular, hearing the
- 4 egregious allegations of -- some of the allegations
- 5 that were made. And if we have an opportunity to
- 6 gather information that's readily accessible, that we
- 7 at least ask for it.
- I'm not saying that we weigh and make
- 9 a decision given also the concerns for due process,
- 10 but at the minimum that we have that information in
- 11 our file for the purposes of making sure that we
- 12 protect the consumers from egregious conduct.
- 13 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I think everyone
- 14 is interested in protecting the consumers.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I think you're right
- 16 Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz.
- 17 Any further discussion on this matter?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Item E-6 is Docket 09-0614. This is a
- 20 complaint by Merle Hudgins and Marcial Salgado
- 21 against Commonwealth Edison Company. ALJ Kimbrel
- 22 recommends dismissing the complaint.

- 1 Is there any discussion?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 Any objection?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 Hearing none, the complaint is
- 6 dismissed with prejudice.
- 7 That concludes the electric portion of
- 8 today's agenda.
- 9 Turning to natural gas, as previously
- mentioned, we are holding Items G-2 and G-3.
- 11 Items G-1 and G-5 will be taken
- 12 together. These are complaint cases where the
- 13 parties have settled and moved to dismiss. The ALJs
- 14 recommended dismissing the complaints with prejudice.
- 15 Is there any discussion?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Any objections?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Hearing none, the complaints are
- 20 dismissed with prejudice.
- Item G-4 is Docket 09-0408. This is a
- 22 complaint by Valentina Taylor against Peoples Gas

- 1 Light and Coke Company. ALJ Hilliard recommends
- 2 entering the Order dismissing the complaints, with
- 3 prejudice.
- 4 Is there any discussion?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Any objections?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Hearing none, the order is entered.
- 9 Item G-6 is Docket 10-0084. This is a
- 10 petition by Interstate Gas Supply of Illinois, Inc.,
- 11 to keep confidential portions of its 2009 Report of
- 12 Continued Compliance as an Alternative Gas Supplier.
- 13 ALJ Sainsot recommends entering an Order granting the
- 14 petition for a period of two years.
- 15 Is there any discussion?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Any objections?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 20 Item G-7 is Docket 10-0098. This is a
- 21 petition by Consumer Gas Company seeking authority
- 22 pursuant to Section 6-102 of the Public Utilities Act

- 1 to incur indebtedness in the amount of \$1.5 million.
- 2 Chief ALJ Wallace recommends entering Order granting
- 3 the petition.
- 4 Is there any discussion?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Any objections?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Hearing none, the order is entered.
- 9 That concludes the natural gas portion
- 10 of today's agenda.
- 11 Starting with the telecommunications
- 12 agenda, Item T-1 is a tariff filing by Illinois Bell
- 13 Telephone Company seeking to modify the retail and
- 14 resale of \$5 residence Access Line Retention Offer.
- 15 Staff recommends not suspending the filing.
- Is there any discussion?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Any objections?
- 19 (No response.)
- 20 Hearing none, the filings will not be
- 21 suspended.
- 22 Item T-2 is Docket 09-0279. ALJ Baker

- 1 recommends Entering an Amendatory Order making
- 2 corrections to the Certificate of Local and
- 3 Interexchange Authority.
- 4 Is there any discussion?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Any objections?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Hearing none, the amended Order is
- 9 entered.
- 10 Item T-3 and T-4 will be taken
- 11 together. These are applications requesting
- 12 Certificates of Service Authority to provide resold
- 13 wireless communication services in Illinois. ALJ
- 14 Riley recommends entering the Orders granting the
- 15 certificates.
- Is there any discussion?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Any objections?
- 19 (No response.)
- 20 Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
- 21 Item T-5 and T-6 will be taken
- 22 together. These are verified petitions to withdraw

- 1 Certificates of Service Authority. ALJ Baker
- 2 recommends entering the Order granting the petitions.
- 3 Is there any discussion?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 Any objections?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 Hearing none, the orders are entered.
- 8 Items T-7 through T-11 will be taken
- 9 together. Staff recommends entering the Orders
- 10 initiating citation proceedings for failure to
- 11 maintain corporate status.
- 12 Is there any discussion?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 Any objections?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
- 17 Item T-12 is Docket 09-0317. This is
- 18 an investigation proceeding as to whether interstate
- 19 access charges by Norlight, Inc., d/b/a Cinergy
- 20 Communications were just and reasonable. ALJ Benn
- 21 finds that the revised tariffs are just and
- 22 reasonable and recommends dismissing the proceeding,

- 1 without prejudice.
- 2 Is there any discussion?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 Any objection?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Hearing none, the proceeding is
- 7 dismissed without prejudice.
- 8 Item T-13 is Docket 09-0382. This
- 9 item initiates a rulemaking proceeding and
- 10 authorization for the first notice period. The rule
- 11 amends 83 Illinois Administrative Code Part 732
- 12 entitled "Customer Credits." ALJ Benn recommends
- 13 entering the first notice Order.
- 14 Is there any discussion?
- 15 (No response.)
- Any objections?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 19 Item T-14, T-15, and T-17 through T-25
- 20 will be taken together. These are petitions for
- 21 relief to protect disclosure Petitioners' 2009 Annual
- 22 Report. The ALJs recommend entering Orders granting

- 1 the petitions, but only for a period of 2 years.
- 2 Is there any discussion?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 Any objections?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Hearing none, the Orders are entered.
- 7 Item T-16 is Docket 10-0011. This
- 8 matter concerns a joint motion to extend the deadline
- 9 in this case. ALJ Hilliard recommends entering an
- 10 Order extending the deadline in this case to
- 11 October 21, 2011.
- 12 Is there any discussion?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 Any objections?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 17 Item T-26 is Docket 10-0101. This is
- 18 an amendment to an interconnection agreement. ALJ
- 19 Benn recommends entering the Order approving the
- 20 amendment -- actually, I have here Order approving
- 21 the agreement.
- Is there any discussion?

```
1 (No response.)
```

- 2 Any objections?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 5 That concludes the telecommunications
- 6 portion of the agenda.
- 7 Turning to water and sewer, item W-1
- 8 is Docket 10-0194. This is a tariff filed by Aqua
- 9 Illinois, Inc., to increase its rates for water
- 10 service in the Kankakee Water Division. Staff
- 11 recommends the filing be suspended and set for
- 12 hearing.
- Is there any discussion?
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 Any objections?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Hearing none, the filing will be
- 18 suspended.
- 19 Item W-2 is Docket 08-0083. This is
- 20 an application by Illinois American Water Company for
- 21 a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to
- 22 service a parcel in DuPage County. ALJ Baker

- 1 recommends entering the Order approving the
- 2 application.
- Is there any discussion?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 Any objections?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 Hearing none, the order is entered.
- 8 As stated previously, item W-3 is
- 9 being held.
- Item W-4 is Docket 10-0107. This is a
- 11 request by Aqua Illinois, Inc., for waiver of a
- 12 provision in 83 Illinois Administrative Code Part 285
- 13 to use 2008 AICPA guide. ALJs Hilliard and Benn
- 14 recommend granting the waiver.
- 15 Is there any discussion?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Any objections?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Hearing none, the waiver is granted.
- 20 This concludes the water and
- 21 wastewater portion of the agenda. There is one
- 22 miscellaneous item on today's agenda.

- 1 Item M-1 is a Resolution adding a new
- 2 page, 11b, to Form 21ILCC, the annual report form for
- 3 electric utilities, licensees and/or natural gas
- 4 utilities. Staff recommends entering the Resolution.
- 5 Is there any discussion?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 Any objections?
- 8 (No response.)
- 9 Hearing none, the Resolution is
- 10 entered.
- 11 Turning now to Petitions for
- 12 Rehearing, items PR-1 concerns petitions for
- rehearing in Docket 09-0166/09-0167 consolidated,
- 14 North Shore Gas Company and Peoples Gas Light and
- 15 Coke Company's proposed general increase in natural
- 16 gas rates.
- 17 The Utilities, the Attorney General,
- 18 Citizens Utility Board, and the City of Chicago have
- 19 filed petitions for rehearing.
- 20 I will be voting present on this case
- 21 at this time. Given that when this matter was first
- 22 before us in January for the final order, at that

- 1 time I did not vote given that that was the first day
- 2 that I started on the Commission.
- Judges Moran and Haynes, will you
- 4 please briefly discuss this matter.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: We have these applications for
- 6 rehearing. The Commission has 20 days to rule on
- 7 them. Some applications were filed earlier than
- 8 others. Pursuant to law, the Commission was to take
- 9 action on these pleadings by March 11. In one of the
- 10 applications for rehearing, there were certain
- 11 technical corrections.
- 12 If the Commission grants rehearing, we
- 13 will include those corrections in an order on
- 14 rehearing. If the Commission doesn't grant
- rehearing, then we're going to submit a separate
- 16 Amendatory Order on those corrections. We've
- 17 submitted a memorandum that pretty closely
- 18 approximates the arguments and points of error raised
- 19 by the parties.
- 20 JUDGE HAYNES: The first issue that the
- 21 Utilities seek rehearing on is the compensation
- 22 issue. And the Order adopted Staff's position, for

- 1 the most part, on this issue and the Utility doesn't
- 2 raise anything new on their petition for rehearing
- 3 and we do not recommended that you grant a rehearing
- 4 on that issue.
- 5 And the second issue is the pension
- 6 asset liability and OPEB liability and somewhere the
- 7 compensation issue. We don't recommended rehearing
- 8 and this decision that the Commission reached in the
- 9 Order is consistent with the Peoples Gas rate case.
- 10 We also believe it's consistent with the recent
- 11 appeal of the ComEd rate case and we don't need a
- 12 rehearing.
- 13 JUDGE MORAN: We've got two sets of issues
- 14 under Rider ICR. The first is the challenge to the
- orders directives on the Rider ICR. Baseline --
- 16 that's where the Commission directed the company to
- 17 meet with Staff and determine a baseline for
- 18 calculating costs. That would be put in and modify
- 19 the Rider.
- 20 The problem is as City and CUB and the
- 21 AG have set out that setting rights is purely a
- 22 Commission function and it is a task that really

- 1 cannot be delegated to either Staff or to the
- 2 Company. Now, the parties have raised that point.
- 3 We think they're right on that point. They're also
- 4 talking about this sort of constitutes a legal
- 5 settlement. The problem is, I think, with this
- 6 language is the Commission didn't carry to another
- 7 step. If they didn't say that the results of those
- negotiations would be brought back to the Commission
- 9 for some type of approval either in this proceeding
- 10 or in another proceeding. But you've got to put the
- 11 stamp on it and you've got to find that it's just and
- 12 reasonable and appropriate in the circumstances. And
- 13 for all these reasons that we've kind of explained
- 14 and set out in this memo, we believe that rehearing
- is wrong to adhere, but on that specific issue only
- 16 and if the Commission agrees that we ask that you
- 17 make that clear. I'm saying that because we find
- 18 that there are other challenges to the Commission's
- 19 approval to Rider ICR. Those are in many ways the
- 20 same arguments that were raised before. We've gone
- 21 through all those objections and those objections
- incidentally are only raised by two parties, the AG

- 1 and CUB.
- 2 And I think if you go to the final
- 3 recommendation on Page 10 it kind of says it all.
- 4 This is taken out of CUB's application for rehearing.
- 5 And it really specifies what this Commission did. It
- 6 reviewed whether an accelerated main replacement
- 7 program could go forward. It considered whether the
- 8 company had shown the Rider ICR is just and
- 9 reasonable. It went through discussion of the legal
- 10 standards. It discussed all the terms and the
- 11 proposals for the Rider ICR tariff and then it went
- 12 through this balancing act of trying to make it even
- 13 better than anybody had proposed. You can't read
- 14 this account and not be convinced that the Commission
- did everything right in terms of ICR. So therefore
- 16 we find no reason to have rehearing on that issue.
- 17 The next point of argument is
- 18 challenges to the capital structure. Again, we go
- 19 through an explanation and an analysis of the
- 20 arguments. We do not find rehearing to be warranted
- 21 for this issue either.
- 22 And then we go to cost of equity. The

- 1 Commission made changes to the proposed Order, some
- of which we had recommended, although it rejected
- 3 some of our recommendations also. In any event, this
- 4 memo goes through the Commission's adoption of the
- 5 constant growth DCF models and says, Hey we've done
- 6 this before. This is really nothing new. The
- 7 applicant says that the Commission didn't consider
- 8 financial conditions. The testimony is full of
- 9 evidence and testimony on that. The Commission did
- 10 consider it. The order says that it was considered.
- 11 Our memo addressed those financial conditions also.
- 12 So it's really unfair to say that the Commission
- 13 didn't take account of it. They also say that the
- 14 Commission didn't consider the results of any non
- 15 constant growth model. Well, yes, you didn't
- 16 consider those results when you're making your final
- 17 estimate, but you also say in that Order why you
- 18 didn't.
- 19 Among other things, the order notes
- 20 that this model has unserveable growth rate variables
- 21 that are likely subject to greater measurement error,
- 22 so that's a factor of reliability. Also, the order

- 1 notes a striking variation in results between the
- 2 Staff's estimate and the CUB/City's estimate under
- 3 the same model. So, again, that's a telling aspect
- 4 for reliability. So we do believe that the
- 5 Commission has considered everything that was
- 6 essential to the problem and the situation of the DCF
- 7 models.
- 8 The other issue is that the Commission
- 9 bases its cost of equity determination on
- 10 calculations that lack record support. That's
- 11 again, not a fair assessment because the Commission
- 12 can develop its own cost of analysis or estimate and
- even the applicants recognized this. And they're
- 14 actually challenging the Commission for doing what it
- is absolutely permitted to do. Each and every
- 16 estimate that was applied in this case was derived
- 17 from the record. That's what the law requires even
- if it's not one a particular party requires.
- 19 Interestingly enough at Page 31 of its
- 20 application, CUB reintroduces a chart that we've seen
- 21 numerous times in this proceeding. We've seen it in
- one of the testimonies either of Bodnar or Thomas, I

- 1 know we've seen it in briefs and briefs on
- 2 exceptions. This chart illustrates CUB's view of the
- 3 record and, in our view, it reflects an attempt to
- 4 have the Commission focus on only those
- 5 recommendations as being final and determinate on the
- 6 issues.
- 7 In other words, you're going to read
- 8 this chart whether it's intended or not, the results
- 9 would kind of show that, Oh, Staff and CUB are pretty
- 10 close and the company is far out. And when you see
- 11 that, you're going to think the Company is an outlier
- 12 here and outliers are generally not viewed as
- 13 reliable. But what the Order did is it went past
- 14 this chart, it knew that each of those estimates were
- derived from not one judgment, but of multiple
- 16 different judgments.
- 17 In other words, the Commission did not
- 18 take an easy path in arriving at its determination.
- 19 It did exactly what City/CUB's own witness, Bodnar,
- 20 proposed that it do, it scrutinized the
- 21 recommendations derived from these financial models
- 22 and, as such, no rehearing is warranted here.

- 1 The third contention of CUB and the AG
- 2 is that the Order excluded CUB and AG's analysis from
- 3 consideration. And they talk about that particularly
- 4 in terms of the cap on analysis. That somehow the
- 5 Commission short changed that witness' account. But
- 6 if you look at the Order, it shows that the
- 7 Commission went through the beta input, the market
- 8 risk premium, and the rate of return on the market
- 9 parameter and it looked at the way each witness
- 10 including City/CUB witness Thomas developed estimates
- on these parameters and the Commission gave all these
- 12 parameters scrutiny so there's really nothing more
- than to do here on rehearing.
- 14 The last argument under cost of equity
- 15 belongs to the Utilities, and they're talking about
- 16 the risk adjustments. Staff proposes, if you recall,
- 17 this 20-basis point risk adjustments and Staff
- 18 proposed a lot of other adjustments for Rider VBA,
- 19 for Rider UVA. The Commission -- well, we actually
- 20 addressed all these adjustments in our memo to the
- 21 Commission before it entered it's order. We thought
- 22 that there might have been some double counting here.

- 1 The Commission, however, didn't make any changes in
- 2 its Order and under those circumstances we're not
- 3 going to recommended rehearing.
- 4 So really there is only one issue that
- 5 we find warrants rehearing and that is our
- 6 recommendation to this Commission.
- 7 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Judge Moran, with
- 8 regard to the one issue that you believe should have
- 9 rehearing -- and I agree with you -- I think in the
- 10 rush to -- because safety is a huge concern, I think,
- 11 for the Commission on the issue of the Rider ICR and
- 12 so we would like to move as expeditiously as
- 13 possible. In order to cure the defect as you see it
- in the Order, Staff and the Company have developed
- 15 this baseline. How would you propose that we deal
- 16 with that? Have parties file comments or -- and can
- 17 we do it in a short time frame so that there is full
- and fair hearing of that and also we move as quickly
- 19 as we do need to move because, as I see, it we
- 20 have -- unfortunately last week we had an incident in
- 21 the City of Chicago and there was a life lost and I
- 22 believe the Commission is concerned.

- 1 JUDGE MORAN: It's certainly our intent to move
- 2 this matter along. I think that maybe it would be
- 3 best that the Commission maybe not specify the
- 4 procedural matter or specify the scope. That's
- 5 always a challenge when we get rehearings when the
- 6 Commission doesn't specify the scope and then
- 7 everybody thinks that everything can be tried a new.
- 8 So we do urge that you limit the scope of this
- 9 proceeding to that.
- 10 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Scope being to
- 11 the baseline?
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: Yes, and we would like ourselves
- 13 to see the parties negotiate this even before our
- 14 filing comments. But, again, I don't know if that's
- 15 a direction that we can set out at this time. It
- 16 would be certainly something we would urge on
- 17 rehearing.
- 18 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Are there three things
- 19 then? It's like the baseline, it's the parties
- 20 involved and final sign-off by the Commission?
- JUDGE MORAN: Yes, that's basically how it
- 22 would work. On rehearing we would, of course, give

- 1 notice to all the parties. Those parties would come
- 2 in and we would discuss how we would proceed with
- 3 this, whether they want to do comments, whether they
- 4 want to have some meetings before comments --
- 5 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: As to a time
- 6 period, I'm thinking a 90-day time period.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: We do have -- I don't want to say
- 8 a schedule alternative argument by the Utilities that
- 9 they have set out a proposal and -- Hold on a
- 10 second.
- 11 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I think this is
- 12 something that the ALJs that grant the rehearing that
- 13 they will be given the latitude to work with the
- 14 parties and develop a methodology that moves the ball
- 15 forward with regard to this in taking the various
- 16 parties positions and that may be our best --
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Particularly if we limit
- 18 it to the due process issues around the baseline
- 19 determination.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FORD: You said 90 days?
- 21 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Well, I was just
- 22 looking for a 90-day turnaround -- or do you think we

- 1 should just leave that?
- JUDGE MORAN: I think the rehearing has to be
- 3 done within 5 months, if I remember correctly. For a
- 4 lot of reasons, we will certainly be pushing that
- 5 date forward.
- 6 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Well, I think the
- 7 Commission is looking to expedite it as quickly as we
- 8 can given the safety factors involved.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: Trust me, so are we.
- 10 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Well, I think
- 11 then we'll leave the scheduling up to the ALJs. My
- 12 recommendation would be to grant rehearing as Judge
- 13 Haynes and Judge Moran have presented us today with
- 14 regard to the baseline issue. They will go forward
- 15 with the rehearing format and you can talk to the
- 16 parties how they want to deal with it and negotiate
- it or however they want to deal with it.
- 18 COMMISSIONER FORD: Is that a motion?
- 19 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I think that's a
- 20 motion.
- 21 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I will second that one.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Very well.

- 1 It's been moved and seconded.
- 2 All in favor say "aye."
- 3 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 4 Any opposed?
- 5 (No response.)
- The vote is 4-0. The rehearing will
- 7 be granted on the one specific issue regarding Rider
- 8 ICR's baseline issues only.
- 9 We have two FERC matters on the agenda
- 10 requiring closed session.
- Is there a motion to go into closed
- 12 session?
- 13 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So moved.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Is there a second.
- 15 COMMISSIONER FORD: Second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FLORES: It's been moved and seconded.
- 17 All in favor say "aye."
- 18 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 19 Any opposed?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 The vote is 5-0 to go into closed
- 22 session.

```
Please let me know when the room is
 1
     ready in Springfield.
 2
           JUDGE WALLACE: It's clear.
 3
          CHAIRMAN FLORES: Thank you.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

- 1 (Whereupon, the following
- 2 proceedings were had in open
- 3 session.)
- 4 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Mr. Chairman with regard
- 5 to these two FERC matters, I would make a motion that
- 6 we have a Commission vote to allow me to support
- 7 these in the OMS meeting tomorrow.
- 8 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I second that motion.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Very good.
- There is a motion to file the comments
- 11 with FERC.
- 12 It's been moved and seconded.
- 13 All in favor say "aye."
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- Any opposed?
- 16 None the vote is 5-0. The comments
- 17 will be filed with FERC and Godspeed Commissioner
- 18 Elliott.
- 19 So the record is clear that motion was
- 20 for FERC items, RM10-13-000 as well as Docket
- 21 AD10-5-000.
- Judge Wallace, are there any other

- 1 matters to come before the Commission today?
- JUDGE WALLACE: Mr. Chairman, if we can backup
- 3 to E-4 and E-5, I think we got into a discussion and
- 4 I don't know if the Commission took a vote on this,
- 5 please.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I belief we did. We did
- 7 grant the Order or the Certificate.
- 8 JUDGE WALLACE: All right.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: No, we did order it. There
- 10 was no agreement in terms of exactly -- there was a
- 11 discussion in terms of what would be appropriate to
- 12 consider and I think the ultimate recommendation is
- just for something to keep it under advisement.
- 14 Okay? Is that clear.
- JUDGE WALLACE: Okay. Thank you, sir. Other
- 16 than that --
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I will make a motion
- just to clarify the record that we grant the
- 19 Certificates and the Application for both E-4 and
- 20 E-5.
- 21 COMMISSIONER FORD: I second.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FLORES: All in favor say "aye."

- 1 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 2 Any opposed?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 The vote is 5-0. Thank you.
- 5 At this point the meeting stands
- 6 adjourned. We're going to take a 15-minute break and
- 7 then convene in the video conference room for the
- 8 administrative meeting.
- 9 (Whereupon, the Administrative
- 10 meeting begins.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Beginning the administrative
- 12 meeting agenda. Present we have Commissioner Ford,
- we have Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz, Commissioner
- 14 Elliot, and Acting Commissioner Colgan. I am Acting
- 15 Chairman Manny Flores. We have folks in Springfield
- joining us as well, gentlemen, good afternoon.
- 17 JUDGE WALLACE: Good afternoon.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: That was a test to make sure
- 19 you were hearing the audio over there.
- 20 Everyone has the agenda that we
- 21 distributed.
- Do you want a copy of it.

- 1 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I don't have it with me
- 2 I can live.
- 3 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Same here.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I wanted to give us all an
- 5 opportunity to just talk a little bit about the
- 6 committees. I understand that there's an interest in
- 7 having some policy committee meetings. I know that
- 8 we have annual meetings already with the Water
- 9 Committee and also the Gas Committee, historically,
- 10 and also the Electricity Committee. There have
- 11 already been -- there was one request for an
- 12 Electricity Committee hearing. So I just thought it
- would be a good idea for us to come together just to
- 14 get a sense of scheduling issues and procedures and
- 15 how to move forward with the Policy Committees. Also
- 16 Commissioner Colgan had -- there was a discussion
- 17 about the creation of a new committee, the Consumer
- 18 Affairs Committee.
- 19 So first on the agenda is a brief
- 20 discussion on mission and goals of the Consumer
- 21 Affairs Committee that Commissioner Colgan wanted to
- 22 move forward on.

- 1 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 2 Just in some discussions that we've
- 3 had over the last couple of weeks and at the Naruc
- 4 meetings, I attended the Consumer Affairs Committee
- 5 meeting on several occasions and weighed in on a few
- 6 different issues there. Well, I didn't realize we
- 7 had committees. You know, when you mentioned in the
- 8 bench session that you chaired the Gas Committee, I
- 9 was thinking, What is that?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FORD: You'll find out soon
- 11 enough.
- 12 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: So then a discussion -- I
- 13 asked, What is the Gas Committee and I found out
- 14 there were committees. And in discussions with
- 15 you -- well, Chairman Box chaired the Electricity
- 16 Committee. Each of you have chaired different
- 17 committees, so I just brought up the issue of like,
- 18 Well, what about consumer affairs? Do we have such a
- 19 committee? It has occurred to me that we wouldn't
- 20 need a Gas, Telecom, Electricity, Water, you name it
- 21 Committee if it wasn't for consumers. The consumers
- 22 are at the base of it. Without the consumer, we

- 1 really wouldn't have a need for any of those
- 2 committees, so it seems fundamental.
- It also seems that you can assume that
- 4 each of those committees takes into considerations
- 5 issues of consumers, but it looks to me like we're
- 6 living in the brave new world as we head off into
- 7 deregulated markets and the telecom and electricity
- 8 markets, all the complications and big issues are
- 9 swirling out there in terms of the effects that it's
- 10 going to have on consumers, the whole smart
- 11 technology issue.
- You've got one set consumers that's
- 13 like, Bring it on. Why isn't it already here? And
- 14 then on the other end, it's kind of like a timeline
- 15 there. The example I found myself using is if you go
- 16 onto a college campus and you see kids walking around
- 17 talking each other, but they're all like, you know,
- 18 while they're talking, Oh, yeah, yeah. So the
- 19 digital world is there at the Naruc meeting. I think
- 20 it was the VP of General Electric who started out his
- 21 comments with, How many people in the room have a
- cell phone that's older than three years?

- 1 COMMISSIONER FORD: Did anybody raise their
- 2 hand?
- 3 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I think there were a
- 4 couple.
- 5 COMMISSIONER FORD: You're kidding.
- 6 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: There were two or three.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORD: Why are they keeping is so
- 8 long?
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: She's due for her new
- 10 contract.
- 11 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: No, I don't want
- 12 it. I made them go in the back and get an old phone
- 13 because it really works well.
- 14 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I had a hard time
- 15 converting from the Blackberry.
- 16 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I will think the point
- 17 there becomes that consumers are not only ready for
- 18 the new technology, but they're willing to pay for
- 19 it.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FORD: Some of them.
- 21 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: There is a that segment
- 22 of the population that's all gung-ho and they're

- 1 trying to hold them back and at the other end. If
- 2 you go to a senior meal site and do a survey of who
- 3 here wants a smart meter, you might get a lot of push
- 4 back on that like, Why do I need that? I'm 70 years
- 5 old and this has all worked well for me.
- 6 So anyway it's just a broad spectrum
- 7 of issues. It also occurred to me that there's
- 8 always the divide between the advocates and the
- 9 utilities and we sit in the middle of that. We're
- 10 actually charged with finding that balance, and it
- 11 seems like a Consumer Affairs Committee could be a
- 12 place where people all come to the same table and
- 13 talk about some issues and maybe we can have a more
- 14 civil discussion about how things work. We all have
- issues with how some issues get brought to us. What
- 16 format do they come to us? So then that brings to
- 17 bear the matter of the fact that we have our on
- 18 Consumer Issues Department inside this agency that
- 19 many times just gets overlooked.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FORD: Absolutely.
- 21 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I'm thinking that that
- 22 committee could -- a Consumer Affairs Committee could

- 1 actually convene those stakeholders -- all the
- 2 stakeholders together to just kind of rationally talk
- 3 through some issues.
- 4 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I think it's a
- 5 great idea. One thing I would say though is that our
- 6 different committees -- and this is probably
- 7 informational for all of us and particularly for our
- 8 two newest members that there is always -- whenever
- 9 we do have a policy meeting -- it's not just, you
- 10 know, once a year. As issues come before the
- 11 Commission, sometimes we will have -- when we had the
- 12 storms a couple years ago, we had electric policy
- 13 meetings about how were the companies in the service
- 14 territory dealing with the issues of the storm.
- 15 Actually, that was the precursor to the Liberty
- 16 situation. We did same thing with Com Ed when they
- 17 had 148,000 people out. The next week we had an
- 18 electricity policy meeting as we felt it was
- 19 important that the Commission be addressing this in a
- 20 public way and letting them know what was going on
- and how we were paying attention to that.
- 22 So at critical times these committees

- 1 can be used for those type of information sharing.
- 2 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: You had one on
- 3 high-speed rails last --
- 4 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Yeah, we had one
- 5 on high-speed rails last summer or fall. And at
- 6 those we do -- there is a balancing of including the
- 7 consumer interest as we are charged to do. We don't
- 8 just regulate utilities, we also have to ensure that
- 9 it's the fair and reasonable rate that people are
- 10 paying. So there is always an attempt at any of
- 11 these committee meetings to have, you know,
- 12 participation from consumer folks.
- 13 While I think it's a wonderful idea, I
- 14 just think we need to be cautious in that -- and I
- 15 know we're taking the name from the Naruc thing, but
- 16 I don't want it to look like the other committees
- 17 don't care about the consumers. So I think it's --
- 18 and also there's some situations where we've had --
- 19 where we felt we brought everybody to the table and
- 20 they're sitting in the back hatching their own plan
- 21 that has nothing to do with the betterment for the
- 22 consumers.

- 1 So I think it's a good idea and I just
- 2 think we need to proceed with our eyes really wide
- 3 open as we move into those areas. This morning was a
- 4 perfect example. These people have not been given
- 5 the information that they needed by these consumer
- 6 representatives.
- 7 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: That would have been my
- 8 suggestion for the first meeting. How do we get
- 9 people to drive these customers to us so that our
- 10 processes can work?
- 11 COMMISSIONER FORD: Well, once John gets it
- 12 up and if we do a press release, he'll simply say
- 13 we're all members of it and he's just the chair.
- 14 Because this article he just sent us on the
- 15 socialization for those transmission lines is going
- 16 to take the wind. That's something that you can jump
- 17 out ahead of that because that's going to be a big
- 18 fight, the socialization of those transmission lines.
- 19 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: And it seems, too, that,
- 20 you know -- I guess we've all been seeing a flurry of
- 21 news articles about a certain rate case pending
- 22 before us -- and it just seems that the consumer

- 1 groups, you know, kind of hang out in this
- 2 adversarial position with us.
- I'm not naive enough to think that we
- 4 can all be friends. But at the same time, I think a
- 5 forum where people have an opportunity to be in there
- 6 at the table making their input might kind of put
- 7 some of that down in terms of feeling that maybe they
- 8 have there own little place where they can come. And
- 9 it's not just for consumer advocates because the
- 10 Chairman and I were out at Com Ed yesterday for a
- 11 tour of their call center and we kind of brought this
- issue up because look at the contact they have. They
- 13 get 11 million calls --
- 14 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: But, John, just
- so you know, there was a time here at the Commission
- 16 that if we had gone to the call center, we would have
- 17 been on the front page of the Tribune as like being
- 18 over at the call center fixing a rate case. This is
- 19 wrong. We need to communicate to our utilities.
- 20 They also are a font of consumer contact and why
- 21 can't we, not as the regulatory body, work with them
- 22 to review the knowledge that needs to be out there.

- 1 And if we cannot do that, we cannot do our job
- 2 because God knows the State doesn't have the money to
- 3 be doing this --
- 4 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I would say Commissioner
- 5 O'Connell-Diaz nailed it right on the head. That was
- 6 the one thing that Commissioner Colgan and I picked
- 7 up on immediately. That's why we went to the call
- 8 center to see where -- and we were listening in on a
- 9 number of calls that were made and hearing and how
- 10 the Company was also interacting with the public to
- 11 ensure that they were interacting with the public in
- 12 a professional manner and in a way that they were
- 13 going to be serving the needs. And it just makes
- 14 sense for all stakeholders to be working towards --
- in a very open -- and to everyone's point here, the
- 16 beautiful part about having a policy committee is it
- 17 is open, it is transparent, and no one has, then at
- 18 that point, any excuse or any reason for not to be
- 19 participating as a stakeholder within the
- 20 framework --
- 21 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Don't be naive.
- 22 You have no concept of what these people can do and

- 1 what they cause. You can start out with all the best
- 2 intentions and -- maybe it's a different day, but I
- 3 just think we need to turn the tables and this
- 4 morning was a perfect example. That should be an
- 5 embarrassment.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: The one point that I --
- 7 not only the concent decree issue, but it was the
- 8 fact that Nicor directed them to CUB.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FORD: Well, they alleged that.
- 10 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: That's what I'd like to
- 11 know. If that is a practice of the call center I
- 12 have no knowledge of this. The only thing I have is
- 13 anecdotal information that that occurred.
- 14 What I'd like to do is try to defuse
- 15 some of that. And maybe it can't happen, but I'd
- 16 like to try.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think it's a good
- 18 thing. The more dialogue we can have on this
- 19 issue --
- 20 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: What I'd like to see is
- 21 if there are concerns or if you have words of wisdom
- 22 for me, maybe shoot me an e-mail in the next week or

- 1 two. And I'll probably talk it around to our own
- 2 Consumer Department. I want to ask them what they
- 3 think and try to get a handle on how this should be
- 4 structured. Maybe put together a little bit of a
- 5 mission statement for what the committee is about so
- 6 that it isn't crossing over into all kinds of other
- 7 issues. Like some things we're going to deal with,
- 8 we're going to refer other things to other
- 9 committees. But other committees might want to refer
- 10 some of that stuff to this committee.
- 11 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Or their would be
- 12 joint -- I don't know how you get away from the
- 13 consumer aspect in anything that we do just like you
- 14 can't get away from the Utility Act because those are
- 15 the two things that we -- you, know, we regulate one
- 16 and we have to insure that it's fair for the other.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: In this case.
- 18 COMMISSIONER FORD: They would be apart of this
- 19 collaboration.
- 20 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: As we discussed today,
- 21 we're talking about slamming and cramming issues.
- 22 This is nothing to do with industry. It's agnostic

- 1 to gas, electric or Telecom. It's a consumer issue.
- 2 We've already dealt with it in certain areas. We're
- 3 much more mature --
- 4 COMMISSIONER FORD: But maybe in the initial
- 5 stages their consumer person should be in that --
- 6 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: That's what I'm talking
- 7 about. Maybe the people in the call centers or maybe
- 8 the people that were here didn't work in the telecom
- 9 industry and don't have the experience that we have
- 10 and we can draw upon. It would be great to have our
- 11 Teleco Staff say, Yeah, we dealt with this. We were
- 12 here. You and I were here when this was going on.
- 13 It was a nightmare. It was all dealt with. The
- 14 Commission, through legislation and its regulatory
- 15 rules and procedures, dealt with it. And coming at
- 16 it again, it's like this is a new and novel thing,
- 17 it's not.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: And it shouldn't be.
- 19 Very well. Any further discussion on
- 20 the Consumer Affairs Committee that Acting
- 21 Commissioner Colgan will be chairing?
- 22 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I would just like to

- 1 thank everybody for your consideration here, and for
- 2 your help in trying to get this thing squared up so
- 3 that we know that this -- it's a new committee so we
- 4 want to know what it is before we actually roll it
- 5 out there and say, Here it is.
- 6 So I'm going to count on you to give
- 7 me some feedback. I'll talk to some staff, I'll talk
- 8 to some other stakeholders, I talked to ComEd
- 9 yesterday and got all kinds of good feedback from
- 10 them.
- 11 COMMISSIONER FORD: Iowa has a good one. I sit
- on the board with a GTI person and he's from Iowa.
- 13 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: And that's thing
- 14 another thing, at the Narook meeting you'll have an
- opportunity to meet the consumer reps from other
- 16 states where there is not an antagonistic situation.
- 17 I cannot tell you how refreshing it is to have
- 18 everybody working towards that same goal and how far
- 19 we could go if --
- 20 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It is a different
- 21 situation.
- 22 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: It's a healthy

- 1 situation and people are looking for --
- 2 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: The consumers council in
- 3 other states are -- the structure is quite different,
- 4 they're funded legislatively and the relationships --
- 5 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: And they really
- 6 work together. And that's really I think what we
- 7 should be.
- 8 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I have started a dialogue
- 9 with Ann Boyle from Nebraska. She chairs the Narook
- 10 Committee.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: John Perkins is a
- 12 classic -- he's been around this world for a long
- 13 time.
- 14 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: The kind of CUB
- 15 people in those various states. It's a different
- 16 thing when you sit down next to them and you're like,
- 17 Yeah, we work with our Commission on this and I'm
- 18 like -- We really do need to help each other.
- 19 MR. ANDERSON: I was inquiring about some of
- 20 the comments that were made and I don't know they
- 21 started or where they came from, but the Policy
- 22 Meetings themselves seem to be kind of fairly

- 1 informal in terms of where they come about. Do you
- 2 guys want to formalize them a little bit or do you
- 3 want to just kind of leave them the way they are?
- 4 CHAIRMAN FLORES: When you say "formalize
- 5 them, " what do you mean by that?
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: Well, I mean, like right now as
- 7 far as I can tell, you can say, Let's do this and do
- 8 it, but then again you said you didn't know we had
- 9 them.
- 10 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I wondered if we need to
- 11 formally establish a committee or do we just decide
- 12 that there is a committee.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FLORES: You can play this one or a
- 14 couple different ways.
- MR. ANDERSON: And I don't have a preference.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FLORES: The bottom line here and the
- 17 way I understand it -- and please, our colleagues who
- 18 have been here for longer than the two new acting
- 19 Commissioners.
- 20 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: The newbies.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: The policy committees play a
- very important role in the ICC. Some of the points

- 1 that have already been made have been meeting
- 2 officially for the purpose of informing people about
- 3 what the ICC is doing and to also, in some instances,
- 4 inquire of the utilities and the other stakeholders
- 5 that we are responsible for working with and
- 6 regulating to inform the ICC and the general public
- 7 about what they're doing to address -- whether it be
- 8 safety issues weather storms or other policy issues
- 9 that maybe related. So to that extent, I think
- 10 they're formal.
- 11 One question that I had -- and that's
- 12 why I thought it would be a good idea to have this
- meeting would be, I personally asked a judge, in
- 14 terms of when we call for a meeting a policy
- 15 committee meeting, if we're going to be gathering
- 16 testimony in the meeting that we setup --
- 17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We don't do that.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Why can't we do that?
- 19 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It's not a docketed
- 20 proceeding. And also it will hamper you from being
- 21 able to take any new information you want to get and
- 22 free dialogue.

- 1 THE WITNESS: It depends on what you mean by
- 2 testimony. Are you meaning it in a general sense?
- 3 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I didn't mean to
- 4 cut you off.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I'm talking if you have a
- 6 meeting when Com Ed comes in to explain what they're
- 7 doing, they're reporting --
- 8 COMMISSIONER FORD: It's on the record.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So that's what I'm talking
- 10 about.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It's a transcript.
- 12 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL -DIAZ: Yes, but it's
- 13 not like a docketed proceeding.
- 14 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Nobody is sworn in.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Guys, I mean testimony.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: Yes, like they do at the
- 17 City Council.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: In the City Council -- when
- 19 you want to pass legislation, what you do is you
- 20 introduce the law into the general council and then
- 21 the general council will move it to a committee; the
- 22 committee will hold a hearing. They will take

- 1 testimony. The testimony is on the record. And then
- 2 at that point, depending on the type of legislation,
- 3 there will be action taken or no action taken.
- 4 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: The on the record part
- 5 is the troubling issue here because on the record for
- 6 me is in a docket. You have a docket, it's open --
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So when the Commission in the
- 8 past has held committee meetings, are they open to
- 9 the public.
- 10 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Yes.
- 11 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Are minutes taken?
- 12 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It's a verbatim
- 13 transcript.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FLORES: What's the difference between
- 15 that and --
- 16 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Let me give you an
- 17 example.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Maybe what we need is a
- 19 tutorial form from either the Commissioners or the
- 20 lawyers --
- 21 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: The Commission
- doesn't make law like that or make rules like what

- 1 you are suggesting.
- 2 MR. ANDERSON: We don't issue orders out of
- 3 policy. We take people -- however you guys want to
- 4 do it and, like I said, there's two ways to use the
- 5 word "testimony." I think there's two ways to use
- 6 the word "testimony." There's a legal way and then
- 7 there's a more general way that you do it
- 8 legislatively. It's more of the legislative style in
- 9 a policy committee meeting as opposed to the sworn
- 10 and recorded.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I'm referencing here the
- 12 former where you're taking information. Which is
- what we've been doing already.
- 14 MR. ANDERSON: You mean presentation. Maybe
- 15 Presentation is a better word.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: That's right.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FLORES: That's what we are talking
- 18 about.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: If the guy that comes in
- 20 and talks to us in a policy meeting in general, ideas
- 21 about what we're doing, then they file a case and
- 22 what they do and what they said may not match up.

- 1 Now, we can't use this, But you said in this policy
- 2 meeting you were going to do this.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FLORES: That's fine. I'm just
- 4 talking about getting information to us. That's what
- 5 I'm talking about.
- 6 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: It is in a formal
- 7 setting -- and when I say "formal," I mean there has
- 8 been proper notices open to the public and actually
- 9 the purpose is to have this discussion, open dialogue
- 10 with -- and I think dialogue is the right word
- 11 because the Commissioners presentations occur, the
- 12 Commissioners go back and forth --
- 13 CHAIRMAN FLORES: And no votes are taken;
- 14 correct?
- 15 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: No, it's not a
- 16 docket.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So given that no votes are
- 18 taken and it's not a docketed proceeding, and that
- 19 it's not formal in that respect and it doesn't bind
- 20 the ICC to any type of official action for the
- 21 purposes of scheduling the policy committee meetings,
- we don't need a forum then; right?

- 1 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: No, you don't
- 2 need a quorum.
- 3 MR. ANDERSON: I think we need a quorum to
- 4 convene the meeting.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Why would you need a quorum
- 6 to --
- 7 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: To satisfy the Open
- 8 Meeting Act. We have had sessions before where
- 9 somebody heads up a concern committee where it might
- 10 just be one Commissioner and they're holding
- 11 something. But if you're sitting on open meeting --
- 12 MR. ANDERSON: I think you're talking about
- 13 legal letter of the law kind of thing versus real
- 14 practice. If you have two Commissioners decide that
- 15 they're going -- because three Commissioner couldn't
- 16 make it and they know they're not going to be able to
- 17 make it, they'll let you do it --
- 18 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: Not going to make
- 19 it's decision.
- 20 MR. ANDERSON: There's nothing to challenge.
- 21 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: My understanding was
- that you needed to have a sufficient number of

- 1 Commissioners to open the meeting. Once the open
- 2 meeting is stated because there's no vote,
- 3 Commissioners can get up and leave if they want.
- 4 That was my understanding.
- 5 MR. ANDERSON: The difference being --
- 6 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: In the legal way
- 7 that we've done the policy meetings before, yes. We
- 8 follow all the letter of the law as far as giving
- 9 notice and Mike can also jump in.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FLORES: My question is, can we -- I'm
- 11 not suggesting that we don't issue notice. We want
- 12 to issue notice. We want to have these policy
- 13 committee meetings open to the general public.
- 14 That's the whole point behind these meetings. I
- 15 foresee, for instance, a potential where given our
- 16 busy schedules, that if Commissioner Colgan wants to
- 17 have a meeting and he's tried and unfortunately by
- one reason or another everyone's schedules have
- 19 conflicted and he's trying to bring in experts from
- 20 across the country that they can't meet our schedules
- 21 because of I've been down that road before in my
- 22 other capacity, would it be okay for John, after the

- 1 ICC issues all the open meetings requirements in
- 2 terms of noticing the public and everything before 48
- 3 hours, doing everything even that we do now, is it
- 4 okay if he were the only Commissioner to be at the
- 5 meeting convening it.
- 6 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL: First of all, that has
- 7 not occurred because the Commission is -- it's
- 8 business is to have these meetings. These are not
- 9 meetings we have every month. So I don't think we're
- 10 going to have a situation where we're going to be not
- 11 available. Maybe somebody might be missing, but,
- 12 generally speaking, these are not meetings we have
- 13 every month. And also I think it is important that
- 14 the Commissioners be together. When we have these
- important issues that we develop and you get people.
- In the post 2006 initiative that was a
- 17 committee that I led off. The Commissioners, we
- 18 would be there for some of it, but they weren't there
- 19 for all of it. We had 250 people in working groups,
- 20 so I wasn't in there every week. I think when we do
- 21 have these committee meetings, it's a respect that
- 22 the other Commissioners go to the meetings when they

- 1 are called.
- 2 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I'm just wondering and
- 3 maybe you can help me here.
- 4 COMMISSIONER FORD: But if you have three to
- 5 convene --
- 6 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think if we have an
- 7 open meeting, we meet as a body. It's the
- 8 Commission.
- 9 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: But that's what I
- 10 was saying, I know, in the past, we've also had
- 11 hybrid meetings, if you want to call them. I know
- 12 that when Commissioner Lieber when he was here, he
- 13 had things like that and it didn't involve going out
- 14 and giving the notice. It depends on how we call it.
- 15 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: You did some stuff, but
- 16 that wasn't an open meeting.
- 17 MS WITNESS: The policy meetings have typically
- 18 gone under as open meetings. Again, Mike, correct me
- 19 if I'm wrong. We've conducted them like open
- 20 meetings. We've given everybody the opportunity to
- 21 participate, just another thing about why we give the
- 22 notice. So we've asked a group that we inadvertently

- 1 forgot to participate and they want to participate in
- 2 the policy meeting. They have that and they are
- 3 afforded that opportunity.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I know that we haven't had
- 5 meetings every month, but there are going to be some
- 6 issues that -- I'm going to be up front with
- 7 everybody -- I anticipate in my work with the
- 8 Electricity Committee to bring issues regularly
- 9 before this Policy Committee. And I am interested in
- 10 holding regular meetings. I don't want to encumber
- 11 anyone's scheduling.
- I can see that more than -- the types
- 13 of meetings that I'm envisioning convening are the
- ones that you've had in the past where it's a matter
- of getting information from experts, not binding the
- 16 ICC to any specific policy. It's really just to get
- 17 more information, but doing it in a way where we can
- 18 all participate and do it openly in a way --
- 19 sometimes we can't because of the ex parte
- 20 communications. All of us have so much to contribute
- 21 and I must confess, I find it challenging to do some
- of the work without being able to consult with all of

- 1 you in an open setting. I know that all of you are
- 2 not going to be able to attend all of the meetings,
- 3 but I guess I want to know what level of flexibility
- 4 we have so that we can be effective as well.
- 5 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I'm thinking if we're
- 6 going to have a meeting and we send out official
- 7 notice that there is going to be a meeting of the
- 8 Telecom Committee, then it seems to me that if we're
- 9 going conduct business of that committee, we would
- 10 have to have a quorum present to call that meeting in
- 11 order.
- If a quorum didn't show up, I don't
- 13 know if you can continue to have the meeting. It
- 14 seems to me like if you are having a meeting and
- 15 somebody is assigned as the secretary to take notes
- 16 of the meeting and at the next meeting you approve
- 17 the minutes of that, that's an official meeting.
- 18 That's the record, the minutes to the meeting.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It would have a verbatim
- 20 transcript because any of the meetings we engage in
- 21 is going to have a verbatim transcript.
- 22 COMMISSIONER FORD: You can follow the agenda

- and no votes can be taken and whatever happens you
- 2 qualify at the next meeting. I don't know if ours
- 3 can do that, I know that's Robert's Rules.
- 4 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: And I think Robert's
- 5 Rules are you can hold an official meeting where a
- 6 quorum is not present and you can submit the minutes
- 7 to that meeting at the next regularly schedule
- 8 meeting and if nobody challenged the presence of the
- 9 quorum at the previous, the minutes can be adopted
- 10 and they become the official record of the meeting.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So what are you saying
- 12 because you started out by saying that we need a
- 13 quorum to have the first meeting, but now you're
- 14 suggesting that perhaps we don't need a quorum
- 15 because Robert's Rules of Order just basically say
- 16 that if no one calls you out on the quorum --
- 17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We don't go by
- 18 Robert's Rules, we go buy the Open Meetings Act.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So what's the rule? I want
- 20 to know what the rule is.
- 21 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: I can sort this all
- 22 out for you, and then also if there are some hybrid

- 1 issues, somebody wants to have an independent talks
- 2 meeting on or conduct certain things, those fall
- 3 under a different set of rules that we can follow.
- 4 So I can set out those two scenarios or you.
- 5 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: That would be helpful.
- 6 And an answer to your question of what
- 7 am I saying.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I'm not trying to give a hard
- 9 time.
- 10 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I posed that as a
- 11 question because this is a different world for me.
- 12 My world used to be every one of my communications
- 13 used to be ex parte.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FLORES: There was nothing wrong
- 15 with --
- 16 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Before you started here,
- 17 just to clarify for the record.
- 18 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: The world in general kind
- 19 of operates on people getting together and talking
- 20 about things. So I have to understand this new world
- 21 that I'm living in and I think there are times where
- 22 it is really important for the five of us to be able

- 1 to come together and really talk openly about where
- 2 things are at and how we want to proceed.
- 3 MR. ANDERSON: Which you can do any time you
- 4 want as long as you do it in an open meeting.
- 5 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: But then you're saying I
- 6 could have meetings with different people --
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORD: That would just be a
- 8 meeting.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: The two of us can have a
- 10 meeting but whatever has go around to each
- 11 Commissioner, it's not exactly official.
- 12 COMMISSIONER FORD: Right.
- MR. ANDERSON: And the open meeting things is
- 14 separate from the ex parte. You guys can all be
- 15 together and ex parte can be taking place.
- 16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: And then you get
- 17 into if it's got issues that are part of a docket,
- 18 then you can't talk about it. It's a Rubik's Cube
- 19 because it's always changing and you have to be
- 20 really cognisant because the folks out there are
- 21 waiting for us to screw up.
- MR. ANDERSON: You guys can't have a policy

- 1 committee meeting in an open forum and talk about
- 2 rate issues from case X because none of the parties
- 3 would be there and that's ex parte.
- 4 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: We would need a statement
- 5 that we would read every time that we opened says
- 6 that we cannot have any of these discussions and if
- 7 anybody brings that up, it will immediately -- and I
- 8 think somebody from the Staff needs to be present at
- 9 those meetings to be able to make that call like,
- 10 Timeout. We can't talk about this.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Just from a realistic
- 12 perspective to talk about policy matters in these
- 13 meetings in this context is that so many times and so
- 14 often most of these issues are being contested
- somewhere in a litigated proceeding and it's very
- 16 difficult to have that conversation.
- 17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: That's why all of
- 18 that smart grid stuff, that's part of a docketed
- 19 matter. So we've got constraints as to what we can
- 20 go out and engage in as Commissioners because they're
- 21 going to be reporting back to us at a certain point
- 22 and this is part of that.

- 1 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: That's why I haven't
- 2 participated in any of those collaborative meetings.
- 3 MR. ANDERSON: You know the collaborative is
- 4 not docketed.
- 5 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: No, but it's a
- 6 result of a Commission Order and they are going to
- 7 report back to the Commission. So it's part of a
- 8 proceeding that's before us really.
- 9 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: From my perspective, I
- 10 would like to have this really clear. Before I start
- 11 convening meetings, I want to know what the rules are
- 12 because I don't want to be five months into it and
- 13 have something like, Acting Commissioner Colgan broke
- 14 every rule with this meeting that he held.
- MR. ANDERSON: And that's something that Mary
- 16 and Mike can do as you're contemplating having a
- 17 meeting. Before you put the posting out, we look
- over it and say this looks great make sure you don't
- 19 do that and that would be before you even notice it
- 20 up.
- 21 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: And that's why a lot
- of the assistants too -- we work them. For instance,

- 1 like Heather and Brandy, they've been doing this for
- 2 quite some time. They know what needs to go into
- 3 these notices and everything. So they're also
- 4 covering you. There are all these safeguards in
- 5 place to try to help everybody so that none of you
- 6 screw up.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Very good.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Getting to your point
- 9 regular schedule, I think that's fine if we did it
- 10 around bench dates.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I'm not even going to discuss
- 12 it. I think we need to know what the rules are
- 13 because if that's the case, then to John's point,
- 14 maybe we just don't -- I don't want to hold policy
- 15 meetings if it's going to end up creating scenario
- 16 for us.
- 17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I think you can
- 18 hold them, but they have to be specific and you can't
- 19 get into --
- 20 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I hear you, but I don't know
- 21 what the rules are. So unless I know what the rules
- 22 are, I personally feel uncomfortable having meetings

- 1 if I don't know what the rules are because I don't
- 2 want to create a scenario where it puts the ICC in a
- 3 position that's not in a very favorable light and I
- 4 don't want to be in an unfavorable light and I know
- 5 none of us want to be in unfavorable light.
- 6 COMMISSIONER FORD: When I have my Gas Policy
- 7 meeting, I'll have a representative from every
- 8 utility and then I'll have a representative from CUB.
- 9 I don't think I've had the AG.
- 10 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: But generally these are
- 11 issues that are before us and --
- 12 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Why didn't the AG
- 13 have a representative?
- 14 COMMISSIONER FORD: I've never asked them to
- 15 come. It would be on heating and cooling and this
- 16 time I'm bringing -- it's going to be pipeline
- 17 because that was the recommendation you said you
- 18 wanted me to bring in and that's what my next meeting
- 19 will be about. We always have national person.
- 20 MR. ANDERSON: American Gas Supply.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: But see that's an interesting
- 22 scenario. So we're going to have a policy meeting,

- 1 not a regular meeting, policy meeting on an issue
- 2 that could be tangentially related to a docketed
- 3 proceeding. Remember what it is. That report, that
- 4 letter, that report that was published that was given
- 5 to us, that Liberty Report comes from a docketed
- 6 proceeding.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORD: Right.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So how can we speak about
- 9 that in a policy committee if we are talking about an
- 10 issue that is in a docketed proceeding?
- 11 COMMISSIONER FORD: That is not the way I will
- 12 come at it. The pipeline people will simply come in
- 13 and talk about cast iron and duck tile iron and the
- 14 replacement policies over the country and what's
- being done. And when they come in and tell me, they
- 16 are not talking about this case, they're just giving
- 17 a generalization.
- MR. ANDERSON: And I don't think it's the rules
- 19 that will complicate things because the rules are
- 20 pretty straight forward, don't talk about cases.
- 21 Make sure you're in open meeting. Those things are
- 22 pretty straight forward. The thing that's going to

- 1 be complicated is, does this subject matter that we
- 2 want to talk about touch on any docketed cases that
- 3 are going on?
- 4 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: And if so, how do we
- 5 narrowly tailor this so that we don't step on those
- 6 land mines.
- 7 MR. ANDERSON: That's a not the rule, that's
- 8 just sorting out the subject matter.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: And that's where
- 10 reliance on our general counsel, the assistance and
- 11 others is critical.
- 12 COMMISSIONER FORD: And they usually will send
- 13 us out their handout when they present.
- 14 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: You establish your
- 15 agenda and then you get the --
- 16 CHAIRMAN FLORES: So in terms of the dates
- 17 because that's the second item on the agenda, I know
- 18 that you had presented a date, Commissioner Ford, for
- 19 the your Gas Committee. Did you have a general idea
- 20 about which one you wanted to move forward on?
- 21 COMMISSIONER FORD: I was trying to wait after
- 22 this case.

- 1 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I saw an e-mail going around
- 2 for water --
- 3 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: So you're going to be in
- 4 after rehearing on Peoples?
- 5 COMMISSIONER FORD: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Do you have a date in June?
- 7 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: You know, I have
- 8 to check with my assistants and the other part of
- 9 that is -- I think it is the first week in June
- 10 whatever that date is. We're going to do outreach to
- 11 get some national speakers here and it's also the
- 12 beginning of the summer season. So that's a good
- 13 time to have a water meeting.
- 14 MR. ANDERSON: And then you'll have a major
- 15 one.
- 16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Right. We will
- 17 try to do it in-between rate cases we have.
- MR. ANDERSON: Not that you won't have other
- 19 ones.
- 20 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: No, but we've got
- 21 a break in-between.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FLORES: The third point -- is

- 1 everyone okay with June 6th?
- 2 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Well, June 6th is
- 3 Sunday. The first and second are pre-bench and
- 4 bench.
- 5 MR. ANDERSON: That was my mistake.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FLORES: In terms of the Illinois
- 7 smart grid collaborative, because that is technically
- 8 a docketed matter, I would just say then procedurally
- 9 if we can just have it then on that pre-bench, which
- 10 would be April 6. But it's not going to be a joint
- 11 policy committee meeting it will be a matter that
- 12 will be pre-bench to give a full update on the -- but
- we said April 6th because we were hoping to get them
- 14 moving a little faster rather than waiting too long.
- 15 Because I think what we made end up hearing in
- 16 testimony frankly, is that there may be some issues
- 17 that we may want to tell them or advise them to
- 18 accelerate and the more time we have --
- 19 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: What are you
- 20 talking about testimony?
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: The smart grid collaborative,
- we want an update from the folks who have been

- 1 working on the smart grid collaborative.
- 3 some potential that they're looking for direction
- 4 from the Commission potentially.
- 5 MR. ANDERSON: You obviously had what you had
- 6 coming out of the Order was a lot of points. Some of
- 7 which have proved workable to follow, some of which
- 8 have proved not workable to follow.
- 9 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We didn't know
- 10 what we were doing. We really were shooting in the
- 11 dark.
- MR. ANDERSON: It's certain things people
- 13 weren't going to do. So we kept it going and we made
- 14 some adjustments. We want to make sure we come back
- 15 to you before a report comes to you and says this
- isn't in the Order. What the Heck is this?
- 17 CHAIRMAN FLORES: For the sake of the
- 18 Commissioners, I don't want -- I've looked at the
- 19 stuff that's filed now on the site that you've
- 20 provided us. Some of it is helpful, but to your
- 21 point, we're going to expect an update from the
- 22 collaborative and just telling us up front what has

- 1 not been working and what appears to be unworkable so
- 2 that it can be instructed to us --
- MR. ANDERSON: And here's how you're going to
- 4 get that because first of all Internex (phonetic) is
- 5 the facilitator who can give the overview. After
- 6 Internex comes in, you guys are going to have to
- 7 decide who you want to hear from because the
- 8 collaborative is a huge amount of people. Some of
- 9 which go to everyone, some of which goes whenever
- it's convenient, some of which goes maybe once.
- 11 COMMISSIONER FORD: So we've got to hear from
- 12 the person you have in charge.
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: To start with, but I assume
- 14 you're going to want to hear from Com Ed. I assume
- 15 you're going to want to hear from the AG. You're
- 16 going to want to hear from a smattering of both
- 17 sides.
- 18 COMMISSIONER FORD: But Internex would be first
- 19 and then the next scheduled meeting we could have all
- 20 the intervenors.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: It's going to take a long
- 22 time.

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: You can hear from whoever you
- 2 want.
- 3 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: My question is,
- 4 why are we hearing from the AG?
- 5 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Because they're a member of
- 6 the collaborative.
- 7 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Well, there's 200
- 8 some-odd members of the collaborative, so why are we
- 9 hearing from the AG?
- 10 MR. ANDERSON: I would say you'd want to hear
- 11 from the AG or --
- 12 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: CUB.
- MR. ANDERSON: No, CUB is more pro smart grid
- 14 than the AG and AARP are.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FLORES: This is what I recommended --
- 16 we are gathering information. One of the reasons why
- 17 we were looking to have this policy committee was
- 18 Sherman and I have been talking about some ideas that
- 19 we have frankly in terms of smart grid technology and
- 20 some other policies and we want -- and in discussion
- 21 with all of you in this open -- we were envisioning
- 22 having this open meeting was to get a sense of how we

- 1 can also provide the kind of input that we'd like in
- 2 this collaborative to get the result that we want and
- 3 not that some other group --
- 4 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I'm just going to
- 5 say one thing. I'm putting it out there because I
- 6 think we really need to be thinking about when we're
- 7 in these meetings and obviously having these public
- 8 discussions that we've got our thoughts lined up. I
- 9 think that we need to be conscious that there's
- 10 always -- we don't want to look like fools on a
- 11 transcript. So I think we need to think about that
- before we go into the meeting and be prepared.
- 13 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think we can do that
- 14 setting the agenda. It is going to be difficult with
- 15 this many collaborators to find out on a limited time
- 16 frame who are the parties that should present their
- 17 views on whatever issues we determine the agenda
- 18 should cover.
- 19 MR WITNESS: You definitely don't need to hear
- 20 from AARP and the AG and the City. You need to hear
- 21 from one of them because they have the same
- 22 perspective.

- 1 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It's almost like setting
- 2 the schedule for an oral argument.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FORD: Then have Internex and
- 4 let's stare at the AG since, you know that's going to
- 5 be the people that's going to cause gripes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I think it's going to be
- 7 instructive. I'm not suggesting that we
- 8 automatically adopt before --
- 9 COMMISSIONER FORD: Oh, I know.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FLORES: -- but at the minimum, we can
- 11 see where some of these groups are going to be coming
- 12 from before we get to that point.
- MR. ANDERSON: And I'm guessing at the most
- 14 five.
- 15 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I think the other reason why
- 16 this meeting is so important is, again, to the work
- 17 that we first started, it's important that these
- 18 stakeholders also know what the expectations that the
- 19 ICC has given that the ICC was the driving force
- 20 behind calling for this collaborative to begin with.
- 21 MR. ANDERSON: There's been a good amount of
- time since the order left off to where we are now and

- 1 the final report is due in October. After that the
- 2 order calls for a policy docket, which becomes I
- 3 think really what you guys want to make clear for.
- 4 It's probably too late to engender a lot of change to
- 5 the collaborative, it's going to have a finish up.
- 6 But that policy docket is going to be the important
- 7 thing.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It really is. It's sort
- 9 of the initiating order of the scoping of that policy
- 10 docket that's going to be the key. And hopefully --
- 11 I think the idea was that the smart grid
- 12 collaborative would inform us of what the broad scope
- 13 should be.
- 14 COMMISSIONER FORD: What I think is going to
- 15 come out of this --
- 16 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: There's a question as to
- 17 whether or not it's going to do that.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I've looked at some of the
- 19 doubts and I think we definitely need an update
- 20 sooner rather than later.
- COMMISSIONER FORD: I want an update, but I'm
- 22 saying if you have 250 people, they should be in

- 1 segments. Why are they grouped?
- 2 MR. ANDERSON: Well, it's in terms of activity.
- 3 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I said 250. I
- 4 don't know if it's exactly that number, but it's a
- 5 large number.
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: If GE is monitoring it, that
- 7 doesn't mean they are necessarily talking at the
- 8 meetings, they might just be on the list. I didn't
- 9 mean to say that that's what they are doing, that's
- 10 an example.
- 11 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: You've got
- investment people in those meetings.
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: This is just an idea, you guys
- 14 decide what you want to do. Internex can give the
- 15 overview. ComEd could represent the utilities
- 16 because they're the active utility Ameren really
- 17 isn't. CUB, I think you definitely want to hear from
- 18 because they have a pro smart grid perspective that's
- 19 different. The people that would be hesitant about
- 20 it would be the AG, AARP group kind of thing, whoever
- 21 you want to here from.
- 22 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Hesitant?

- 1 COMMISSIONER FORD: Okay. We've got our group.
- 2 How long are we talking about for this policy
- 3 meeting, an hour?
- 4 CHAIRMAN FLORES: This is not a policy meeting.
- 5 COMMISSIONER FORD: Usually an hour and a half
- 6 to two hours?
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Max.
- 8 COMMISSIONER FORD: Then we have four people.
- 9 Give Internex the most time and give the whose
- 10 representing all the utilities the next core amount
- of time and give everybody else ten minutes.
- MR. ANDERSON: And like Commissioner Elliot
- mentioned, you're going to hear the problems from the
- 14 people that come after Internex -- and staff should
- 15 be there.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: Definitely staff.
- MR. ANDERSON: So what you've got then is
- 18 Internex probably giving, since they're the higher
- 19 facilitator, there's is probably going to sound rosy.
- 20 This is what we've worked out. It's working real
- 21 well. Things are great. Then everybody else is
- 22 going to say we think it should be done this way or

- 1 like tis and you'll probably get something from
- 2 everybody.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FORD: Then that's our next
- 4 meeting. That's our next overview.
- 5 MR. ANDERSON: But I think that give you what
- 6 you need.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORD: Absolutely.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Very good.
- 9 So then the next matter is the
- 10 scheduling protocol of future policy committee
- 11 hearings. That was a point I brought up and really
- 12 what I meant by that was can we reach an agreement
- 13 that if we are planning on holding a policy committee
- 14 meeting that we first look at a pre-bench date just
- 15 as a basic marker an as a default date that we all
- 16 can agree on given that it's already on the calendar?
- 17 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I would suggest
- that it's a really good idea, but I think we should
- 19 look at this pre-bench date as well as the bench date
- 20 because some days if we don't have anything and then
- 21 for us to travel down to Springfield, the cost and
- everything, sometimes it's not good. And then if we

- 1 were going to do it, we would do it on the Wednesday
- 2 right after bench.
- 3 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I could do it one day
- 4 the bench in the morning and a policy meeting in the
- 5 afternoon.
- 6 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I'm just thinking
- 7 about money.
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: It's kind of the way you guys
- 9 tend to do oral arguments.
- 10 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: So we really need
- 11 to look at the pre-bench days and the bench days as
- 12 the days we would do a policy meeting and then we can
- 13 work with that.
- 14 MR. ANDERSON: Can I go back real quick to this
- 15 pre-bench on April 6th. Are you guys going to set
- 16 that up or how is that going to work?
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Mike, do you have
- 18 something?
- JUDGE WALLACE: I just want to point out that
- 20 if you used pre-bench we normally don't -- I think
- 21 it's happened to let other people speak, but you have
- 22 to be cognisant of that fact that usually pre-bench

- 1 is just the Staff speaking.
- 2 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We would do a
- 3 separate policy meeting after pre-bench. We would
- 4 have pre-bench and end that meeting and then go into
- 5 the policy meeting.
- JUDGE WALLACE: Okay.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Right. We're just referring
- 8 the pre-bench date and the bench dates as the dates
- 9 designated to hold -- we're not saying that we're
- 10 going to hold a policy committee meeting, per say,
- 11 during the time period that has been designated for
- 12 pre-bench or bench. Does that make sense?
- 13 JUDGE WALLACE: It's okay. You can do it that
- 14 way, you just need to keep in mind that if you're
- 15 going to do it on a pre-bench day and have it follow
- 16 the normal pre-bench, we still need to have an agenda
- and I don't know what you want to call it
- 18 necessarily.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FLORES: We'll just call you in
- 20 advance and you will notice it up and everything. We
- 21 know that you still have to follow the 48-hour rule
- 22 with regards to notice for having the policy

- 1 meetings.
- 2 MR. ANDERSON: I think the confusion is coming
- 3 in with the idea that this April 6 meeting not being
- 4 a policy committee meeting. I think it maybe should
- 5 be.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think it should be.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Should be what?
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: A policy committee meeting.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FLORES: We can't have our cake and
- 10 eat if too folks. It's either a docketed proceeding.
- 11 MR. ANDERSON: It's not docketed.
- 12 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: The collaborative is the
- 13 result of the Commission Order. And the Commission
- 14 Order said informally work together and then formally
- 15 file something at a date certain.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FORD: This is an update.
- 17 MR. ANDERSON: The collaborative is a
- 18 nondocketed workshop process.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think we're okay in
- 20 having them come and tell us what's going on in the
- 21 process.
- MR. ANDERSON: If you want to start talking

- 1 about the details of AMI deployment from the pilot
- 2 docket, that might be a problem or if you want to
- 3 talk about something else -- is that still open?
- 4 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: There are some other
- 5 ones and this is what the two attorneys are working
- 6 on --
- 7 CHAIRMAN FLORES: You know, I just think for
- 8 the sake of argument, I think we should just go.
- 9 This thing is not going away. The reality is there's
- 10 a pre-bench that's already scheduled every month and
- 11 this is an important collaborative. If we find after
- our update this we have to might more regularly, then
- we just meet as a regular group on pre-bench. We're
- 14 already scheduled anyway and we dictate whether it's
- 15 pre-bench. We have pre-bench scheduled every month.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think the difference
- is the meeting itself.
- MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: You can only do
- 19 certain things -- correct me if I'm wrong, Mike,
- 20 here's what you can do at a pre-bench meeting.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: What can you do at a
- 22 pre-bench meeting, Mike.

- JUDGE WALLACE: Well, if you put something on
- 2 the agenda you can do anything. I was just pointing
- 3 out historically that bench and pre-bench meetings
- 4 speakers are limited to Staff.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FLORES: We're going to have to change
- 6 that.
- 7 MR. ANDERSON: If that's not required, then
- 8 it's not a problem. Is it a requirement or practice?
- 9 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: It's just a tradition.
- 10 JUDGE WALLACE: It's a practice and I'm just
- 11 point it out. If you want to deviate from that,
- 12 that's fine with me.
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: I think one of the things that
- 14 Commissioner O'Connell-Diaz mentioned about having
- 15 ComEd come in after a storm, I think we might have
- done a policy meeting one time, but I think one time
- 17 we did it at the end of a regular bench.
- JUDGE WALLACE: Some of those things if you do
- 19 them as policy it's a little easier, I think.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FLORES: I just think here what's in
- 21 question is whether or not this is a docketed
- 22 proceeding. So if we are going to be -- I would

- just -- I think there's a question as to whether or
- 2 not it's part of a docketed proceeding. I think to
- 3 play it safe, you just put it on the next pre-bench.
- 4 It's an update. It's already scheduled. There is
- 5 nothing that prevents us so long as we put it on the
- 6 agenda.
- 7 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: Let me just verify
- 8 everything just to make sure we're not crossing into
- 9 any other --
- 10 MR. ANDERSON: Or just red line around the
- 11 things that you can't. It's not docketed. The
- 12 collaborative is purposefully not docketed. It was
- 13 constructed that way. You can get an update on the
- 14 collaborative.
- MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: I think there is
- 16 more than just
- 17 one other and I need to check and see what that is.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Commissioner, you seem
- 19 hesitant.
- 20 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I would defer to
- 21 let Mary check on this, but I certainly wouldn't want
- 22 us to go into an area where we're going to have egg

- on our faces. So let's just be cautious about it and
- 2 let OGC walk through it.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Why don't we do this, just
- 4 for the sake of keeping the ball moving forward, I
- 5 don't think it's going to create a problem. I think
- 6 there's a question as to whether or not we can do
- 7 this as a regular policy meeting or not. The bottom
- 8 line is this -- I'm also keeping in mind the e-mail
- 9 that you sent to us Tim and I think the e-mail was
- 10 sent two weeks ago already asking for the update.
- MR. ANDERSON: Probably.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FLORES: And in that e-mail you sent
- 13 out you were looking for a date of when to hold a
- 14 meeting so can we agree to hold the date on April 6
- 15 for the update.
- 16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I don't think
- 17 there's an issue of that. The issue is a whether
- it's going to be part of the prevent or it's going to
- 19 be a separate policy meeting.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FLORES: And, Mary, then between that
- 21 time you can tell us what it is or not, but I think
- 22 we also need to tell Tim because some of the folks

- 1 that we were working with we've got to tell them
- 2 right away to put them on the schedule.
- 3 MR. ANDERSON: Especially Internex because
- 4 they're the ones that don't live here. I gave you
- 5 rundown of who I thought off the top of my head, but
- 6 I'd like to make sure you guys give me a list of who
- 7 you want to here from and then I'll contact them
- 8 otherwise it's me picking and choosing.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FORD: You don't want your head on
- 10 the platter.
- MR. ANDERSON: I'll put it there. I don't mind
- 12 if it's on there their platter. I don't want it on
- 13 your platter.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FLORES: And then, again, Judge
- 15 Wallace, just to clarify for you, what we are talking
- 16 about moving forward in terms of scheduling regular
- 17 policy meetings. We're not talking about the update
- 18 here on the smart grid collaborative. We're talking
- 19 about regular policy meetings that we would plan on
- 20 scheduling those meetings either on pre-bench or
- 21 bench days that are already scheduled on the
- 22 calendar.

- 1 JUDGE WALLACE: I understand that.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Okay. Then there is the
- 3 final matter that someone asked. I don't know who
- 4 added this on the agenda, Commission calendar.
- 5 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Not me.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Very well. I did have one
- 7 other matter, it was more of a procedural matter, but
- 8 before I ask I want clarification from counsel.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Let's go back to the
- 10 Commission calendar. I think Carol may have raised
- 11 that issue with April 27. I think there is a bench
- 12 session date and I think some of us are going to be
- 13 gone.
- 14 JUDGE WALLACE: It's a regular open meeting
- 15 date on April 27th.
- 16 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: It's about the convergence
- 17 of three rate cases for about a week and you guys
- 18 won't be there.
- 19 COMMISSIONER FORD: I thought we would change
- 20 it to the 26th.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Do we all agree on the 26th
- 22 then?

- 1 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: I think we can do it on
- 2 the morning of the 26th.
- 3 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Well, let's look
- 4 at those dockets and see if we can get them done the
- 5 week before.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: We can also cancel the
- 7 meeting and reschedule it, but I think it would be
- 8 better to move the 27th to the 26th.
- 9 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I think there's three
- 10 cases. MidAmerica seems like it's the simplest case
- 11 and then I think Illinois American Water is second in
- 12 ranking and then the Ameren case. So if we could
- 13 dispose of one, take them in that priority order and
- 14 get those off the calendar so that we can have -- I
- 15 would like to see us have some serious focused
- 16 attention on all of these. They are very important,
- 17 all of them; but to take them in that order and I'd
- 18 like to have like maybe a weeks time to be focused on
- 19 that Ameren case.
- 20 JUDGE WALLACE: Good luck with that.
- 21 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: Once you get the
- 22 proposed order, you can start focussing because

- 1 things will flow out of that. So all of our
- 2 assistants will be reading that, as well we should,
- 3 and be developing areas that we have issues with and
- 4 then we need to talk amongst ourselves and get your
- 5 votes organized and also get language organized. We
- 6 can't be, on the morning of the 26th, looking for
- 7 language.
- 8 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: This is my point, we came
- 9 up to the Peoples case and was right up to the last
- 10 minute and you can say "good luck with that" all you
- 11 want, but that's no way to run a railroad. I want to
- 12 have some focussed attention and not have everything
- 13 come and due all at the same time.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FLORES: Can we agreed to a schedule
- 15 right now in terms of when we would like to have
- 16 these --
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: As a point of future
- 18 reference, Commonwealth Edison has made it plain that
- 19 they're coming in for a rate case. As a point of
- 20 practice, when Commonwealth Edison files, everyone
- 21 else does too, specifically for the reason that
- 22 everything comes through all at once. It's a

- deliberate tactic to overtax our Staff, our resources
- 2 and us. So this is a point of future reference.
- JUDGE WALLACE: Can I interrupt.
- 4 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: Commissioner Colgan, I
- 5 wasn't trying to be flip, it's just that the three
- 6 cases are coming due precisely for what Commission
- 7 Elliott said. They all filed within the same frame.
- 8 So that's why we set schedules to try to give you as
- 9 much time as possible, but they still all filed
- 10 within days of each other. So that's way beyond our
- 11 control.
- We've got a request for oral argument
- in Illinois American, and I'm fairly certain that
- 14 we'll have a request for oral argument in Ameren. So
- 15 those two things have to be scheduled too.
- 16 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: And here's
- 17 something I think we can do in the interim. I think
- 18 we can all go and look at what the deadlines are for
- 19 those cases. We can look at our schedules. We may
- 20 have to meet extra days to deal with all of this
- 21 stuff. If we have more questions, then we can get
- 22 together for those Tuesday and Wednesday sessions.

- 1 That's something that the Commission does do, but we
- 2 also need to develop a timeline for us and our
- 3 language changes and have those done in a certain
- 4 period of time so we aren't scrambling at the last
- 5 minute because we won't have the time and it will get
- 6 too confusing.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORD: We're going to have to get
- 8 or Staff because John has no one and I always want my
- 9 optimum level. That is priority because he cannot do
- 10 it alone.
- 11 MS. STEPHENSON-SCHROEDER: Can I just say one
- 12 thing since Commissioner Ford opened that door. It's
- 13 kind of off topic, but since many of you are going to
- 14 be having new assistants, some are familiar with the
- 15 procedures here and some are completely new, I am
- 16 having a training next Tuesday for some of the new
- 17 assistants. And if Commissioners are participating
- in that training, they need to because it is
- 19 mandatory. But I also do need to work with the new
- 20 assistants because some persons have had involvement
- 21 in matters here and they will have to recuse
- 22 themselves if they have touched upon any of those

- 1 matter. So it's something we need to keep in mind
- 2 that I need to work with all of you on. I know some
- 3 of you are very familiar with that, but we do have
- 4 some assistants staff here and have worked on cases.
- 5 So we need to be cognisant of that.
- 6 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We've got to get
- 7 a template together and get the last date of
- 8 revisions to the order because otherwise we would not
- 9 get that work done.
- 10 COMMISSIONER ELLIOTT: And we need to back off
- 11 from when we get the proposed order to and the
- 12 changes need to be made.
- 13 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: We should be
- 14 getting the proposed order certainly within three
- 15 weeks of the drop-dead date.
- 16 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: I think we have
- 17 everything on the MidAmerica case.
- JUDGE WALLACE: The proposed orders have been
- 19 out.
- 20 COMMISSIONER O'CONNELL-DIAZ: I mean the final
- 21 order we'll get.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FLORES: We also have some experienced

- 1 assistants and so next week your meeting with those
- 2 assistants Mary, the new ones, and I think we tell
- 3 them when we break from this meeting that we want to
- 4 set this timeline up. We all know that we have these
- 5 cases, let's get them to start thinking about working
- 6 with us individually and collectively on the timeline
- 7 we're going to agree to. There's a very
- 8 collaborative environment with regards to the way --
- 9 with the assistants. So we'll be okay. We just ave
- 10 to give them some instruction, give them the timeline
- 11 that we're looking for. All right.
- 12 Any other matters? If that's it, then
- 13 I'd like to adjourn this meeting and we'll get those
- 14 schedules.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22